

Sensitivity Enhancement for Flame AAS Using an Atom Concentrator Tube for Elements Dissolved in Organic Solvents

Application Note

Atomic Absorption

Introduction

The application of a slotted tube placed on an ordinary atomic absorption burner head in order to increase the sensitivity and detection limit for a number of elements in flame-atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS) was first demonstrated by Watling [1,2]. A very similar technique had been used before in combination with either a nickel "cup" [3] or a tantalum "boat"[4] for the same purpose. The enhancement effect using the combination of a slotted tube and an ordinary acetylene/air flame was later confirmed by several authors who demonstrated that the sensitivity and the detection limit could typically be improved by a factor of 2–5 for easily atomized elements [5–11].

Extraction of aqueous samples into a small volume of an organic solvent after addition of a complexing agent in order to enhance the detection limit is a well established method [12–14]. A concentration factor of at least 20 times can easily be achieved.

Moreover, it is also well known that atomizing organic solutions (especially those rich in oxygen, for example, ketones) can result in 3–5 times better sensitivity for many elements [15] and references therein. Thus the improvement in sensitivity for flame-AAS after extraction should be about $20 \times (3-5) = 60 - 100$ times.

A combination of extraction into an organic solvent and the atom concentrator tube should thus theoretically result in a total improvement in sensitivity and detection limit of (60 to 100) × (2 to 3) = 120 to 300 times.

Surprisingly, the possibility of combining these techniques has not been investigated. The present paper therefore reports results from a number of experiments using the atom concentrator tube for organic solutions of some metals. For comparison the same solutions have been analyzed without the concentrator tube.



Authors

Ragnar Bye University of Oslo Department of Pharmacy P.O.Box 1068, 0316 Oslo, Norway and Christopher B. Mullins

Christopher B. Mullins

Experimental

Apparatus

An Agilent SpectrAA-10BQ Atomic Absorption Spectrometer equipped with a Mark VI burner head was used together with an Agilent Atom Concentrator Tube (ACT 80) including a special metal holder constructed to fit the quartz tube to this particular burner—the holder being identical with that used for the quartz tube of the Agilent Vapor Generation Accessory (VGA-77). The quartz tube was 150 mm long with two lengthwise cuts 2 mm wide by 100 and 80 mm long respectively, angled at 120 degrees relative to each other. New tubes were conditioned in the flame by nebulizing a 1% lanthanum nitrate solution for 10–15 min before use in order to prolong the tube life.

The built-in instrument graphics together with an Epson RX-80 printer were used for the recording of the signals and for construction of the calibration graphs.

Gas flow-rates of acetylene for the organic and aqueous solutions were 1.2 and 1.8 L/min respectively. The air flow-rate was 12 L/min in both cases.

The instrument parameters were as follows:

Measurement time	4 sec
Delay time	4 sec
Replicates	3
Recommended SBW and wavelength for each element	Background correction was not used

Experiments

Test solutions containing mixtures of Ag, Cu, Fe Ni and Pb made by appropriate dilutions of a metallo-organic standard mixture of the elements (Conostan S-12 100 ppm (Wt)) with methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK) were used. A corresponding series of aqueous metal standards were made by diluting a stock solution made from the appropriate amounts of the respective metal nitrates (of A.R. grade) dissolved in water.

The following concentrations were measured: 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 mg/L of each metal.

The instrument calculated and displayed the calibration graph for each element. From the four graphs: for example, water, MIBK, water + ACT and MIBK + ACT the relative enhancement factors were calculated for each element using the absorbance values for 6 mg/L. The factors are given in Table 1.

Results and Discussion

Both the aqueous and the MIBK-solutions were measured with and without the ACT tube. The No.1 value in the table should be compared with those obtained for No. 4. Both series demonstrated the enhancement factors that can be expected when the ACT is used and that the tube indeed has almost the same effect for organic solutions. Comparison of No. 2 and No. 6 confirms this.

Experiment No. 3 illustrates the total enhancement obtained using an organic solution combined with the concentrator tube relative to aqueous solutions without the tube.

No. 5 shows that atomizing MIBK-solutions without the tube is always more effective than atomizing aqueous solutions with the tube.

The results in Table 1 also confirm that the enhancement effect using the tube is best for the easily atomized elements.

Conclusion

The results show that using a quartz atom concentrator tube for metal compounds in methyl isobutyl ketone solutions will result in the same enhancement of the sensitivity as for aqueous solutions multiplied with a factor of 3–4 due to the beneficial (exothermal) atomizing conditions for organic solvents (see above). This can be utilized in the application of extraction methods for the determination of ions present in water samples thus achieving a much better detection limit relative to that obtained for aqueous samples without extraction.

It is evident that the enhancement effect is caused mostly by the prolonged residence time of the atoms in the light path and is most pronounced for the easily atomized elements. Thus for iron (and nickel) the tube does not seem to offer any advantage at all. This can be explained by the lower temperature inside the quartz tube, this being too low for an effective atomization of the more refractive elements. For such elements it is better to atomize an organic solution without tube.

In many cases, the combination of extraction of metal complexes into organic solvents using an atom concentrator tube for flame-AAS could be an alternative to the graphite furnace technique, for instance for sea-water samples. This approach can be even more attractive if using the extraction equipment recently described for a fast, non-manual extraction of large volumes which can solve the problems associated with the use of the conventional and inconvenient separatory funnels [15]. Alternatively, programmable probe height of the SPS-5 Flame Sampler may be used to advantage in the extraction procedure.

The SPS-5 probe operates through a range of 160 mm. When two immiscible liquids are in a test tube, the probe may be programmed to descend into the upper liquid layer. Thus, the extraction procedure could be as follows:

- Pipette a volume of sample into a stopped test tube, and add a known volume of extractant
- Then pipette a volume of organic solvent into the tube, stopper and shake it
- Remove the stopper, start the SPS-5 Flame Sampler
- The probe will then descend into the upper organic layer. This eliminates the use of separatory funnels.

Table 1. Enhancement Factors for Pb, Cu, Ag, Fe and Ni

	Pb	Cu	Ag	Fe	Ni
MIBK/ACT MIBK	2.4	1.6	2.8	0.6	1.1
MIBK/ACT AQ/ACT	3.3	4.0	3.8	2.1	n.d.
MIBK/ACT aq	8.6	6.0	10.9	2.2	n.d.
<u>AQ/ACT</u> aq	2.7	1.5	2.8	1.0	n.d.
<u>MIBK</u> aq/ACT	1.3	2.5	1.3	3.5	n.d.
<u>MIBK</u> aq	3.6	3.8	3.6	3.6	n.d.

n.d. = Not determined

References

- 1. R. Watling J Anal Chim Acta, 1977, 94, 181.
- 2. R. Watling J Anal Chim Acta, 1978, 97, 395.
- 3. H. T. Delves Analyst, **1970**, 95, 431.
- 4. R. Bye, Fresnius' Z. Anal Chem, **1981**, 306, 30.
- 5. A. Taylor and A. A. Brown. Analyst, 1983, 108, 1159.
- 6. A. A. Brown and A. Taylor Analyst, 1984, 109, 1455.
- A. A. Brown, B. A. Milner and A. Taylor Analyst, **1985**, 110, 501.
- M. Harriot, D. Thorburn Nurns and N. Chimpales Anal Proc, 1991, 28, 193.
- 9. S. Xu, L. Sun and Z. Fang Talanta, **1992**, 39, 581.
- 10. J. Moffet Spectroscopy, 1990, 5, 41.
- 11. J. Moffet AA-91 Varian Instruments At Work, 1989.
- 12. K. Kramling and H. Peterson Anal Chim Acta, 1974, 70 35.
- 13. L. G. Danielsson B. Magnusson and S. Wasterlund Anal Chim Acta, **1978**, 98, 47.
- 14. J. D. Kinrade and J. G. Van Loon Anal Chem, 1974.
- 15. J. G. Welz B Atomic Absorption Spectrometry Verlag Chemie Weinheim, **1976**.
- R. Bye, T Agasuster and A Asheim Fresnius' J Anal Chem, 1993, 345, 111.

For More Information

For more information on our products and services, visit our Web site at www.agilent.com/chem

www.agilent.com/chem

Agilent shall not be liable for errors contained herein or for incidental or consequential damages in connection with the furnishing, performance, or use of this material.

Information, descriptions, and specifications in this publication are subject to change without notice.

© Agilent Technologies, Inc., 1995 Printed in the USA November 1, 2010 AA116

